

Greenhouse Gas Emissions Verification Report

To: Azbil Corporation

1. Objective and Scope

Japan Quality Assurance Organization (hereafter “JQA”) was engaged by Azbil Corporation (hereafter “the Company”) to provide an independent verification on “Azbil Group’s Fiscal Year ended March 31, 2018 for domestic sites and December 31, 2017 for international sites GHG emissions calculation report (Scope 1, 2)” and “Scope 3 calculation report (rev. 9)” (hereafter “the Reports”). The content of our verification was to express our conclusion, based on our verification procedures, on whether the statement of information regarding the GHG emissions from Scope 1, 2 and 3 in the Reports was correctly measured and calculated, in accordance with the “Environmental performance data calculation rule (Scope 1, 2)” and “Scope 3 calculation rule (rev. 9)” (hereafter “the Rules”). The purpose of the verification is to evaluate the Reports objectively and to enhance the credibility of information regarding GHG emissions in the Reports.

2. Procedures Performed

JQA conducted verification in accordance with “ISO 14064-3”. The scope of this verification assignment includes Scope 1, Scope 2 and 9 categories of Scope 3 (Category 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11 and 12). The verification was conducted to a limited level of assurance and quantitative materiality was set at 5 percent of the total emissions in the Reports. The organizational boundaries for Scope 1 and 2 included 171 of domestic and international sites.

Our verification procedures included:

- Visiting the Company’s Environment Promotion Department to perform validation to check the Rules prior to the Site Visit.
- Visiting four sampling sites for Scope 1 and 2; and Environment Promotion Department for Scope 3.
- On-site assessment to check GHG source and Monitoring points for CO₂ emissions (Scope 1 and 2); calculation scenario and allocation method for CO₂ emissions of Scope 3; and Monitoring and Calculation system and its controls for overall.
- Vouching: Cross-checking the GHG emissions data against evidence for all sampling site.

3. Conclusion

Based on the procedures described above, nothing has come to our attention that caused us to believe that the statement of the information regarding the Company’s Fiscal Year ended March 31, 2018 GHG emissions from Scope 1, Scope 2 and Scope 3 in the Reports, is not materially correct, or has not been prepared in accordance with the Rules.

4. Consideration

The Company was responsible for preparing the Reports, and JQA’s responsibility was to conduct verification of GHG emissions in the Reports only. There is no conflict of interest between the Company and JQA.



Sumio Asada, Board Director

For and on behalf of Japan Quality Assurance Organization

1-25, Kandasudacho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan

July 9, 2018